



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,649	11/14/2003	Michael W. Shapiro	03226.343001;SUN040212	6964
32615	7590	09/29/2008	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			YIGDALI, MICHAEL J	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2192		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/29/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lord@oshaliang.com  
DOCKETING@OSHALIANG.COM  
hathaway@oshaliang.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/713,649	SHAPIRO, MICHAEL W.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael J. Yigdall.

(3) Scott G. Bell.

(2) Aly Dossa.

(4) Robert Lord (Reg. No. 46,479).

Date of Interview: 22 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Edwards.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the invention and the language of the proposed amendments (see attached), as well as the meaning of the recited "instrumentation request." Discussed potential amendments to satisfy 35 USC § 101 and to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the "framework" in which the invention operates. Applicant's representatives plan to file a formal written reply to the last Office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael J. Yigdall/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2192